



Vantage RuntimeAI

Batch agent check-rides, model comparison, and operational scorecards for autonomous AI workflows

8.0/10

Overall score

Run summary

Scenario: Pipeline Incident Triage

Model: qwen/qwen3-235b-a22b-2507

Roles: Data Engineer (AI agent) <> Engineering counterpart (AI agent)

Duration: 1m 34s

Actual run cost: \$0.002601

Projected execution cost: \$1.72/mo (30/biz day × 22 days)

Report date: July 14, 2026 - 3:16 AM EST

Overall

8.0/10

PASS - trust=high

Pass - score 8.0/10 clears 7.0 with acceptable trust/closure.

CAPABILITY RUBRIC



#	Dimension (matches spoke #)	Score
1	Root cause category	8/10
2	Uses log artifacts	8/10
3	Blast radius awareness	8/10
4	Structured triage summary	8/10
5	Next steps & verification	8/10

Spoke numbers run clockwise from the top; dimension names are listed in full in the table.

Technical criteria checklist

Automated pass/fail signals for this task - use when comparing models on specific requirements.

- Root cause category identified: Met
- Restore / verification next steps: Met
- Cites log / error artifacts: Met

TECHNICAL JUDGEMENT

Holistic read on this single-shot deliverable - criteria checklist plus rubric gaps, not any one dimension alone.

8/10

Telemetry

Run metrics

Mode: multi-turn - Agent msgs: 6 - Counterpart msgs: 7 - Output: 17192 chars - Latency: 94s - Criteria: 3/3

Score analysis

3/3 automated criteria met - see checklist below.

Score snapshot

Solid: Solid technical task response with a few gaps.

Interpretation

Multi-turn technical task: fixed brief, 6 agent turns with scripted follow-ups and reviewer sign-off. 3 of 3 checklist criteria passed.

BRIGHT SPOTS (RUBRIC)

- + Root cause category: Correctly identifies the primary technical issue from the artifacts.
- + Uses log artifacts: Uses tables, logs, or schema facts from the task brief.
- + Blast radius awareness: Shows appropriate safety, budget, or risk awareness for the scenario.
- + Structured triage summary: Clear structure with readable SQL, diff, or memo formatting.
- + Next steps & verification: Strong rationale and actionable follow-through.

DIMENSION NOTES

- Root cause category: Correctly identifies the primary technical issue from the artifacts.
- Uses log artifacts: Uses tables, logs, or schema facts from the task brief.
- Blast radius awareness: Shows appropriate safety, budget, or risk awareness for the scenario.
- Structured triage summary: Clear structure with readable SQL, diff, or memo formatting.
- Next steps & verification: Strong rationale and actionable follow-through.
- Overall judgement (8/10): Sound judgement on this technical response: checklist criteria and rubric align with a strong deliverable.

Signals

Root cause category identified: yes - Restore / verification next steps: yes - Cites log / error artifacts: yes

CAVEATS

- Heuristic keyword/signal scoring - use the criteria grid and transcript to validate edge cases.

Transcript

Session

Scenario: Pipeline Incident Triage

Agent response <-> Engineering counterpart

Data Engineer (AI agent) <> Engineering counterpart (AI agent)

Duration: 1m 34s

Transcript: blue = protagonist (you) - amber = counterpart - gray = coach/system. Each turn shows role/name, clock time, and response delay when available.

Engineering counterpart

7:14 AM

Task brief - Pipeline incident triage (complex complexity)

Triage this failed dbt run log and recommend next steps:

...

```
2026-03-18 06:12:04 INFO dbt run --select fct_orders started (target=prod)
2026-03-18 06:12:09 INFO 1 of 1 START sql table model analytics.fct_orders ..... [RUN]
2026-03-18 06:14:22 ERROR 1 of 1 ERROR creating sql table model analytics.fct_orders .. [ERROR in 133.02s]
2026-03-18 06:14:22 ERROR Database Error in model fct_orders (models/marts/fct_orders.sql)
000904 (42000): SQL compilation error: error line 47 at position 8
invalid identifier 'O.REGION_CODE'
compiled SQL at target/run/analytics/models/marts/fct_orders.sql
2026-03-18 06:14:22 INFO Completed with 1 error and 0 warnings
2026-03-18 06:14:22 INFO Done. PASS=0 WARN=0 ERROR=1 SKIP=0 TOTAL=1
```

Context: staging table stg_orders still exposes region via join to customers; prod mart was updated yesterday to read region_code directly from orders (migration Q2-Schema).

...

Agent response

7:15 AM - Responded in 12s

(1) Likely root cause category:

Model logic mismatch due to schema migration drift

(2) What broke and why (referencing log lines):

The `fct\_orders` model failed during compilation with:

`invalid identifier 'O.REGION\_CODE'` at line 47, position 8.

This error occurs because the model SQL (likely in `models/marts/fct\_orders.sql`) references `O.REGION\_CODE`, assuming the `orders` table (aliased as `O`) contains a `REGION\_CODE` column. However, per the context, the production schema was recently updated to include `region\_code` directly in the `orders` table as part of a Q2 schema migration - which implies the old join path through `customers` is being phased out.

Despite this change, the error suggests one of two possibilities:

- The model still joins to `stg\_orders` or an outdated version that does not yet expose `region\_code` directly in `orders`, or
- More likely: the model references `O.REGION\_CODE` where `O` refers to the `orders` source, but the upstream `stg\_orders` or source definition has not yet been updated to reflect the new schema, making `REGION\_CODE` unavailable.

However, the context states: "staging table stg_orders still exposes region via join to customers", meaning the migration is incomplete - the source model still derives `region` via customer join, and the direct `region\_code` in `orders` is not yet exposed in the staging layer.

Thus: the `fct\_orders` model was prematurely updated to use `O.REGION\_CODE` from the `orders` table, but the upstream `stg\_orders` model has not yet been migrated to include it, resulting in a missing column at runtime.

(3) Immediate next steps to restore the mart safely:

1. Roll back the `fct\_orders` model change temporarily to revert to referencing `region\_code` via the `customers` join (from `stg\_orders`), ensuring consistency with the current state of the staging layer.

-> This restores the mart build while preserving correctness.

2. Align upstream model: Update the `stg\_orders` model (or source definition) to include `region\_code` directly from the `orders` table, in line with the Q2 schema migration.

-> Validate this change in a dev environment.

3. Replay the migration safely: Once `stg\_orders` exposes `region\_code`, redeploy it to prod, then reapply the `fct\_orders` change that uses the direct field - this time with correct dependencies.

4. Add integration test: Add a schema test or use `dbt test` to validate expected columns post-migration, preventing drift.

> ? Safe restoration achieved via rollback + staged re-deploy after dependency alignment.

Engineering counterpart

7:15 AM - Responded in 0s

Thanks - walk me through your reasoning step by step. What assumptions did you make?

Agent response

7:15 AM - Responded in 11s

Absolutely - let's walk through the reasoning step by step, highlight the inferences and assumptions, and validate them against the evidence.

? Step 1: Read the error message carefully

> Log excerpt:

...

ERROR Database Error in model fct_orders (models/marts/fct_orders.sql)

000904 (42000): SQL compilation error: error line 47 at position 8

invalid identifier 'O.REGION_CODE'

...

- This is a SQL compilation error, not a runtime or connectivity issue.
- It occurs during the model compilation phase, which means dbt tried to build the SQL for `fct\_orders` and sent it to the database, but the database rejected it because a column it referenced - `O.REGION\_CODE` - doesn't exist or isn't accessible.
- The alias `O` likely refers to a table (probably `orders` or a staging version of it).
- The error is not about `REGION`, but specifically about `REGION\_CODE` - important because it suggests case sensitivity or exact name matching might be involved, but more likely it's about a renamed or restructured field.

? Conclusion: The model assumes a column `REGION\_CODE` exists on a table aliased as `O`, but that column doesn't exist in the compiled query context.

? Step 2: Understand the model dependency chain

dbt models are built in dependency order. `fct\_orders` depends on upstream models - most likely `stg\_orders`.

From the context provided:

> "staging table stg_orders still exposes region via join to customers"

This tells us:

- `stg\_orders` does not have a direct `region\_code` field from the `orders` table.
- Instead, it currently gets "region" by joining `orders` -> `customers` -> pulling region from there.
- So: `stg\_orders` does NOT expose `region\_code` as a direct column from `orders`.

But:

> "prod mart was updated yesterday to read region_code directly from orders (migration Q2-Schema)"

So:

- The `fct\_orders` model was changed recently to now expect `region\_code` to be present directly in the `orders` source (i.e., in `stg\_orders` as a pass-through).
- However, this upstream change (`stg\_orders`) hasn't happened yet.

? Mismatch:

`fct\_orders` expects a new schema feature that `stg\_orders` doesn't yet provide.

? Step 3: Reconstruct the likely SQL structure

Let's imagine what's in `fct\_orders.sql` around line 47:

```
```sql
SELECT
 o.order_id,
 o.amount,
 o.region_code -- <- This line likely causes the error
FROM {{ ref('stg_orders') }} o
```
```

But in reality, in the current `stg\_orders`, this column may not exist - instead, it might be called `customer\_region` or `region`, or derived via a join.

Or worse: maybe `stg\_orders` has a join, but the column is exposed as `region`, not `region\_code`.

But the error says: `invalid identifier 'O.REGION\_CODE'`

-> This implies:

- The SQL being compiled includes `O.REGION\_CODE`
- The table `O` (which resolves to the materialized/view form of `stg\_orders`) does not have a column named exactly `REGION\_CODE`

Hence: compilation fails before execution.

? Key Assumptions (and their validity)

| Assumption Basis Likely Valid? |
|------------------------------------|
| ----- ----- ----- |
| `O` refers |

Engineering counterpart
7:15 AM - Responded in 0s

What would you verify before shipping this to production?

Agent response

7:15 AM - Responded in 17s

Before shipping any fix to production, I would verify the following four critical checkpoints to ensure correctness, safety, and alignment across the stack. These address both technical accuracy and operational risk control.

? 1. Verify the upstream model (`stg\_orders`) actually exposes `region\_code`

Check: Run a `SELECT LIMIT 0` on the compiled version of `stg\_orders` in production to inspect its schema.

```
```sql
```

```
-- In your data warehouse (e.g., Snowflake):
```

```
DESCRIBE TABLE analytics.stg_orders;
```

```
-- Or if transient:
```

```
SELECT FROM analytics.stg_orders WHERE 1=0;
```

```
```
```

? Look for:

- Column named `REGION\_CODE` (case may vary depending on warehouse rules)
- If it's `region\_code`, `Region\_Code`, or quoted - confirm case sensitivity behavior
- Is it coming directly from the `orders` table source (not via `customers`)?

? If `REGION\_CODE` isn't present -> the migration is incomplete -> do not deploy `fct\_orders` change yet

? If present -> proceed

> ? Tip: Use `dbt compile --select stg\_orders` and inspect `target/compiled/analytics/models/...` to see what dbt thinks the model should be.

? 2. Confirm the fix works in an isolated dev environment

Action: Deploy the updated `fct\_orders` model to a dedicated dev branch environment (e.g., via a PR job or transient schema).

Checks:

- Does it compile without error?
- Does it produce expected rows?
- Does `region\_code` populate correctly and match business logic (e.g., not null when expected, correct region mapping)?
- Compare output with old logic (via customer join) - do values align?

? Tools:

- Use `dbt test` to assert non-nulls or valid region values
- Add a schema test like:

```
```yaml
```

```
- name: region_code
```

```
tests:
```

```
- not_null
```

```
- accepted_values:
```

```
 values: ['APAC', 'EMEA', 'NAM', 'LATAM']
```

```
```
```

? Only promote if results are correct, consistent, and tested

? 3. Ensure dependency order and run order are correct in DAG

Check: Use ``dbt ls --select fct_orders+ --show`` or ``dbt docs generate`` to visualize dependencies.

Confirm:

- ``fct_orders`` depends on ``stg_orders``
- No indirect refs or stale cached views
- No model pointing to old versions (e.g., via ``ref('old_stg_orders')``)

Ensure that ``stg_orders`` deploys before ``fct_orders`` during runs.

? Why: You must guarantee that ``fct_orders`` reads the new, updated version of ``stg_orders`` - not a stale one.

? 4. Validate downstream impact: Who uses ``fct_orders``?

Run:

```
```bash
dbt ls --select +fct_orders+
```
```

Or in dbt Cloud: check lineage in the DAG viewer.

Ask:

- Are there downstream dashboards, models (``marts/``), or ML features depending on this?
- If we alter the definition of ``region_code`` (e.g., source changed, nullability changed), will they break?

? Example risk:

- Old: ``region_code`` derived from ``customers``, possibly with fallback logic
- New: comes directly from ``orders``, possibly ``NULL`` if not filled

?? This could introduce new nulls -> affects aggregations

Mitigation:

- Add coalescing if needed:

```
```sql
COALESCE(o.region_code, c.region_code)
```

**Engineering counterpart**  
**7:15 AM - Responded in 0s**

What are the top risks, and what's the rollback plan if this doesn't work?

## Agent response

7:15 AM - Responded in 29s

Excellent question. Even with thorough validation, schema migrations and model logic changes carry high-impact risks - especially in production marts used by analytics, dashboards, and decision-making systems.

Here's a breakdown of the top risks, followed by a clear, executable rollback plan.

---

### ## ?? Top Risks (Ranked by Impact & Likelihood)

#### ### 1. Data inconsistency or incorrect `region\_code` values

- Cause: The direct `region\_code` from `orders` might be nullable, poorly populated, or use different codes than the legacy path (via `customers`).
- Impact: Downstream reports (e.g., regional sales) show inaccurate trends - e.g., "EMEA" vs "Europe", or missing regions.
- Likelihood: High - especially if the `orders.region\_code` wasn't fully validated during migration.

> ? Example: Old path used `customers.region`, which had canonical values. New path uses `orders.region\_code`, which may have typos or staging garbage.

---

#### ### 2. Nulls introduced due to missing data in `orders.region\_code`

- Cause: The `orders` table may not have been backfilled; new field is `NULL` for old records.
- Impact: Historical `fct\_orders` data now shows `NULL` regions -> collapses regional rollups.
- Danger: Silent failure - data looks "complete" but key dimensions are missing.

---

#### ### 3. Model dependency mismatch in production run

- Cause: `stg\_orders` was not deployed before `fct\_orders`, or deployment order failed.
- Impact: `fct\_orders` due to missing column - full run fails.
- Likelihood: Medium - common in monorepo deploys with improper job sequencing.

---

#### ### 4. Downstream breakage due to semantic drift

- Cause: A downstream model assumes `region\_code` implies customer-derived geography (e.g., billing region), but now it reflects order entry region (e.g., sales rep region).
- Impact: Misleading KPIs, stakeholder distrust.
- Note: This is a semantic risk, not structural - harder to detect with tests.

---

#### ### 5. Rollback fails due to table drops or schema changes

- Cause: Running `--full-refresh` or `table` materialization without backup.
- Impact: Loss of historical `fct\_orders` data during redeploy.
- Criticality: Severe if no snapshots or backups exist.

---

### ## ?? Rollback Plan (If Fix Fails in Production)

> Goal: Restore correct, reliable data within SLA (e.g., <30 mins) with minimal downstream disruption.

### ? Step-by-step rollback procedure:

Step	Action	Purpose
1	Pause the next scheduled dbt run	Prevent propagation of bad state
2	Revert the `fct_orders` PR or deploy last known good commit	Revert to logic that uses `region` via `stg_orders` (customer join)
3	`dbt run --select fct_orders --full-refresh`	Rebuild mart using pre-migration logic - ensures clean slate
4	Verify row count, nulls, and region distribution	Confirm data matches pre-failure state
5	Notify stakeholders: "Temporary rollback; investigating root cause"	Reduce alert fatigue and confusion
6	Tag the bad version (e.g., `prod-broken-fct-orders-20260318`)	For auditability and recovery if needed

> ? Pro tip: Use deployment strategies (e.g., dbt Cloud auto

**Engineering counterpart**  
**7:15 AM - Responded in 0s**

Can you tighten this into concrete next steps with owners and timing?

## Agent response

7:16 AM - Responded in 16s

Absolutely. Here's a tight, actionable incident response plan with owners, timing, and clear success criteria - designed for immediate execution in a production dbt environment.

---

## ? Concrete Next Steps: Fix & Stabilize `fct\_orders`

Incident Owner: Data Engineering Lead

Goal: Restore reliable `fct\_orders` within 60 minutes, prepare safe migration path

| Step | Action | Owner | Timing | Success Criteria |

|-----|-----|-----|-----|

| 1 | Roll back `fct\_orders` model to pre-migration version<br>Revert to using `region` via `stg\_orders` (customer join path) | DE Lead | Now - 10 min | ? `fct\_orders` compiles and runs without error<br>? Row count ? previous run |

| 2 | Deploy rollback with `--full-refresh`<br>Ensure clean table state | DE Lead | +10-15 min | ? Table rebuilt in production<br>? No `REGION\_CODE` compilation errors |

| 3 | Verify data correctness<br>Check: `SELECT region, COUNT() FROM analytics.fct\_orders GROUP BY 1` | DE Analyst | +15-20 min | ? All expected regions present<br>? No unexpected nulls |

| 4 | Pause schema migration work on `region\_code`<br>Freeze related PRs until resolved | DE Lead | Now - 5 min | ? PRs marked "On Hold"<br>? Slack announcement sent |

| 5 | Confirm `stg\_orders` exposes `region\_code`<br>Run: `DESCRIBE analytics.stg\_orders` | DE Engineer | +5-10 min | ? If missing -> schedule migration<br>? If present -> proceed to next phase |

| 6 | Align upstream model<br>Update `stg\_orders.sql` to include `orders.region\_code` (direct) + test | DE Engineer | +30 min (dev) | ? `dbt compile` shows column<br>? Test passes in dev schema |

| 7 | Deploy updated `stg\_orders` to prod<br>As standalone change, validated | DE Lead | Next deploy window | ? Column appears in prod table<br>? No downstream breaks |

| 8 | Re-deploy `fct\_orders` with new logic<br>Only after `stg\_orders` is confirmed ready | DE Lead | Next safe window | ? Model runs<br>? `region\_code` populated correctly |

| 9 | Add schema test on `region\_code`<br>Non-null + accepted values | DE Engineer | Within 24h | ? Test passes in CI/CD<br>? Failures block future deploys |

| 10 | Post-mortem & update runbook<br>Document migration dependency rule | DE Lead + Analytics | Within 48h | ? Shared doc updated<br>? Tagged in incident tracker |

---

## ??? Ownership Summary

| Role | Responsibilities |

|-----|-----|

| DE Lead | Final deploy decisions, rollback, coordination |

| DE Engineer | Fix upstream model, validate logic |

| DE Analyst | Data validation, spot-check outputs |

| Analytics PM (optional) | Notify stakeholders of outage/resolution |

---

## ?? Timeline Summary

| Phase | Duration | Target Completion |

|-----|-----|

| Rollback & Stabilize | 30 min | ASAP |

| Root Cause Fix

**Engineering counterpart**  
**7:16 AM - Responded in 0s**

What's the one thing you'd want a second pair of eyes on?

## Agent response

7:16 AM - Responded in 9s

The one thing I'd want a second pair of eyes on:

> ? Whether `orders.region\_code` in production actually contains complete, accurate, and consistent values compared to the legacy `customers.region` path.

---

### ? Why this specifically?

We're migrating a business-critical dimension (region) from a well-understood, customer-based hierarchy to a new source (`orders`) that may reflect a different business meaning - e.g.:

- `customer.region` = where the customer is based (billing)
- `orders.region\_code` = where the order was processed (sales rep region)

They may disagree, and neither is necessarily "wrong" - but they change the semantics of every regional KPI in `fct\_orders`.

---

### ? What a second reviewer should check:

| Question | Why it matters |

|-----|-----|

| 1. Do `region\_code` values in `orders` match the canonical list? (e.g., 'NAM', 'EMEA', 'APAC') | Prevents junk data or typos (e.g., 'Nam', 'NA', 'Asia') |

| 2. Is `region\_code` nullable? What % of orders are NULL? | High null rate breaks aggregations silently |

| 3. For the same order/customer, does `orders.region\_code` = `customers.region`? Sample query:

```sql

```
SELECT o.region_code, c.region, COUNT()
FROM orders o JOIN customers c ON o.cust_id = c.id
GROUP BY 1, 2
```

``` | Reveals drift or misalignment |

| 4. Which region definition aligns with stakeholder expectations? Talk to analytics or BI owner | Ensures we're modeling the right business logic |

---

### ?? Outcome of the review:

- ? Proceed with migration if values align and semantics are correct

- ? Add logic to fallback or join if not:

```sql

```
COALESCE(o.region_code, c.region) AS region_code
```
```

- ? Document the semantic meaning in dbt docs so it's clear to consumers

---

> ? Bottom line: It's not just can we build it - it's should we build it this way?

A second reviewer - especially someone close to the business logic - prevents a technically correct but semantically broken model.

**Engineering counterpart**

**7:16 AM - Responded in 0s**

Thanks - triage ordering looks sound. Restore the mart first; deeper root-cause work can follow once consumers are green.  
Review complete - no further response needed.